

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/005,699	12/07/2001	T. Anthony Don Michael	DON MICHAEL=27	7636
1444 75	590 04/18/2006		EXAMINER	
BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.			HO, UYEN T	
624 NINTH ST	REET, NW			
SUITE 300		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20001-5303		3731	
		ė.	DATE MAILED: 04/18/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)  DON MICHAEL, T. ANTHONY  Art Unit	
_	10/005,699		
Office Action Summary	Examiner		
	Tan-Uyen T. Ho	3731	
The MAILING DATE of this communication eriod for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s' Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the n earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNION of 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a solution in the company of the	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
tatus			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	3 March 2006.		
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	owance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Pisposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1 and 3-10 is/are pending in the a	application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 3-10 is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.		
application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	*		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		application No.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		··	
application from the International Bu	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.	
•			
Machine and a)			
ttachment(s)			

 Paper	No(s)/Mail	Date	

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other: \_\_\_\_.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 3/13/06 has been entered.

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 3-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heuser .900 in view of Sahota (5,019,042) further in view of Imran (5,833,650). Heuser discloses a catheter including a tapered distal end, a guidance lumen (16), an annular bypass flow lumen (46, col. 4, lines 29-56), inlet (47) and outlet openings (48), a first (30) and second (20) flexible membranes/balloons, wherein the second flexible membrane is a blocking balloon and a very

compliant (col. 3, lines 12-25), the first flexible membrane including a stent (40) disposed thereon and the first membrane may comprise a compliant balloon.

Regarding to a high compliance blocking balloon, although Heuser suggests the first flexible membrane/balloon may comprising a high compliant angioplasty balloon, one ordinary skill in the art would recognize that the first balloon is made from a low compliant material for delivering stent but may also be made from the high compliant material as suggested by Heuser. It is also known in the art that balloon for stent delivery being made from low compliant material for anchoring a stent to the wall of a vessel. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the ad at the time the invention was made to make the stent delivering balloon from low compliant material doing so would amount to mere substitution of one material for another within the same art that would perform equally well in the Heuser's delivering system. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make Heuser's first flexible membrane/balloon from a low compliant material in order to provide a better dilation for expanding and anchoring the stent to the wall of a vessel.

Regarding to a central guidance lumen as claimed, although Heuser does not disclose a central guidance lumen isolated from the bypass flow lumen, Sahota discloses a catheter system comprising guidance lumen isolated from the bypass flow lumen (col. 7, lines 1-12) in order to enhance passage of bypass flow. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ a separate guidance lumen in order to enhance passage of bypass flow.

Art Unit: 3731

Regarding to a tube as claimed, although the combined teaching of Heuser in view of Sahota fails to disclose an introducer sheath which surrounds and is movable parallel to the longitudinal axis of the catheter for delivery and deploying the balloon catheter at a treated site as well as for enclosing and removing the embolic material at the treated site, Imran discloses a catheter for use in a similar purpose with Heuser's catheter that have a tubular introducer (16) which surrounds and is movable parallel to the longitudinal axis of the catheter for delivery and deploying the balloon catheter at a treated site as well as for enclosing and removing the embolic material at the treated site aneurysm and the tubular introducer connected to a suction source. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the ad at the time the invention was made in view of Imran to employ the tubular introducer into the catheter system of Heuser in view of Sahota in order to guide and delivery and protect the Heuser's balloon catheter to a treated site and effectively remove the embolic material at the treated site.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tan-Uyen T. Ho whose telephone number is 571-272-4696. The examiner can normally be reached on Multiflex Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anh-Tuan Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-3139. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3731

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tan-Uyen T Ho Primary Examiner Art Unit 3731

April 14, 2006